UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/995,056	11/26/2001	Robert F. Cruickshank III	FSP0163	9528
88095 <b>ARRIS</b>	7590 07/26/201	0	EXAMINER	
3871 Lakefield		PATEL, DHAIRYA A		
Suwanee, GA 30024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2451		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/26/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mirho@fspllc.com



## **UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09995056	11/26/2001	CRUICKSHANK ET AL.	FSP0163  EXAMINER  Dhairya A. Patel	
ARRIS 3871 Lakefield Drive				
Suwanee, GA 30024			ART UNIT	PAPER
			2451	20100716

DATE MAILED:

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Commissioner for Patents**

This action is responsive to remand by the Board of Patent Appeals to cancel the expressly or implicitly withdrawn claims. Appellants has not appealed all rejected claims. Specifically, a review of the Ground of Rejection on the record finds that rejection are outstanding for the following pending claims: 1-3, 5-7, 9-20, 22, 23, 33-35, 37-39, 41-52 54, 55. The rejected claims that have not been appealed and/or argued for appeal are claims 2-3, 5-7, 9-11, 15-20, 22, 34-35, 37-39, 41-43, 47-52 54.

Therefore, the Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal:

Claims 1, 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Foulger et al. U.S. Patent Publication # 2003/0018769 (hereinfafter Foulger)

Claims 12, 13,14, 23,44,45,46,55 are rejected under 35 U.C.. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foulger et al. U.S. Patent Publication # 2003/0018769 (hereinafter Foulger) in view of Feinberg et al. U.S. Patent # 6,798,745 (hereinafter Feinberg)

Therefore, Claims 2-3,5-7,9-11,15-20,22,34-35,37-39,41-43, 47-52, 54 are cancelled.

Therefore, Claim 12 is considered as an independent claim with claim 11 limitations added into claim 12 to make into independent claim 12. Therefore, claim 12 as independent claim with limitation from claim 11.

Therefore, Claim 23 is considered as an independent claim with claims 1, 22 limitations added into claim 23 to make into independent claim 23. Therefore, claim 23 as independent claim with limitation from claims 1, 22.

/John Follansbee/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2451